IAEA Technical Meeting on Lessons Learned and Safety Improvements Related to External Hazards Based on the IAEA Fukushima Daiichi Accident Report

Vienna, Austria, 23–25 November 2016, Board Room C, Ref. No.: J20-TM-52527

Japan's Nuclear Regulation against Natural Hazards after the Fukushima Daiichi Accident

Akira ISHIWATARI Commissioner of Nuclear Regulation Authority, Japan (D.Sc., Geologist)



Thanks

 Five years have passed since the March 2011 Fukushima Daiichi nuclear accident. To prevent recurrence of such a disaster, Nuclear Regulation Authority (NRA)* was established in September 2012. NRA has worked hard for keeping nuclear safety and improving nuclear regulation. NRA expresses sincere thanks to IAEA and its member countries for their kind help in reconstructing Japan after the Fukushima Daiichi accident.



Thanks

- I thank IAEA for giving me a chance of this presentation. I am glad to contribute to international nuclear safety by presenting our knowledge learned from experience of the Fukushima accident.
- Fukushima dictates that "perfect safety" or "ultimate preparedness" does not exist in nuclear safety. We keep going for further improvement of nuclear safety in Japan with international understanding.



NRA's New Regulation Standards against Natural Hazards after the Fukushima accident (Contents of this Presentation)

- More stringent standards on tsunami
- Clarification of requirements for fault displacement
- More precise methods to define design basis ground motion (DBGM) by earthquake
- Assessment & monitoring of volcanic activity
- An example: Sendai Nuclear Power Plants (NPPs), Kyushu Electric Power Co.



More stringent Standards on Tsunami

- Define "Design Basis Tsunami" that exceeds the largest in the historical records
- Requirements for multiple protective measures





Mar. 11 Tsunamis at NPPs

NPP	Tsunami Height (Mar. 11, 2011)	Input Tsunami (before Mar. 11)	Input Tsunami (after Mar. 11)
Higashidori	4 m [13 m]	6.5 m	11.7 m*
Onagawa	13 m [15 m]*	9.1 m	23.1 m*
Fukushima Daiichi	15 m [10 m]	5.4 – 5.7 m	26.3 m** (14.13 m)
Fukushima Daini	15 m [12 m]	5.1 – 5.2 m	27.5 m***
Tokai	5 m [8 m]	5.7 m	17.1 m*

Site caused
severe accident
Site affected by
tsunami

[] Site elevation
*Site subsided 1 m
by the earthquake
(Elevation was 14 m at tsunami input) *Currently under evaluation or not yet evaluated **For consideration ***Proposed by TEPCO



Data from various sources (Credit: A. Ishiwatari)

Clarification of requirements for fault displacement

- "Capable faults" need to be determined as those whose activities since the late Pleistocene (approx.120,000 to 130,000 years ago or later) cannot be denied
- Important facilities have to be constructed on the ground without outcrop of capable faults
 Risk of loss of safety



OMovement of the fault under important facilities like Reactor Building may result in the concentration of deadweight onto the spot and cause damage of the building. OEven in case damage of the building is avoided, safety function can be lost due to the deformation of the facilities or damages of the internal equipment.

原子力規制委員会 Nuclear Regulation Authority https://www.nsr.go.jp/data/000070101.pdf (2013)

How to find a capable fault?

1. Covering Bed Method

Geological age of bed



Judge:

Capable Fault

"Capable fault" is the official term for "active fault" that is defined in IAEA Safety Standards Series No. SSG-9 "Seismic hazards in site evaluation for nuclear installations". The "120-130 ka" is the base age of Upper Pleistocene.



Capable Fault



Not Capable Fault

- 2. Crossing Vein Method
- 120-130 ka dike or vein





Capable Fault



Not Capable Fault





On-site capable fault evaluation

NPP	by Specialists or NRA	Evaluation Result
Higashidori	Specialists	Capable Fault*
Shika	Specialists	Capable Fault*
Tsuruga	Specialists	Capable Fault*
Monju	Specialists	Not Capable Fault
Mihama	Specialists and NRA	Not Capable Fault
Ohi	Specialists	Not Capable Fault
Takahama	NRA	Not Capable Fault
Ikata	NRA	Not Capable Fault
Sendai	NRA	Not Capable Fault

Site operation permitted

(Ikata and Sendai are currently on operation)

Credit: NRA

*Evaluation of fault(s) directly beneath the reactor is not fixed (on evaluation by NRA)



Note: Specialists' evaluation is (will be) taken as important information in the final NRA's judgment.





Sites experiencing earthquakes with strong (如原子力規制委員) motions larger than the old DBGMs³) (gal = cm/s²) Credit: NRA

NPP site	Earthquake Name	Date	Magnitude M _w	PGA basemat	Distance to site	Operation Status
Onagawa	Miyagi-Oki earthquake	August 16, 2005	7.1	316 gal ¹⁾	84km	SCRAM at Units #1, 2, 3
Shika	Noto Peninsula earthquake	March 25, 2007	6.7	226 gal ¹⁾	18km	Under periodical inspection
Kashiwazaki -Kariwa	Chuetsu-Oki earthquake	July 16, 2007	6.6	680 gal ²⁾	16km	SCRAM at Units #3, 4, 7. Others; under periodical inspection
Onagawa	Tohoku earthquake	March 11, 2011	9.0	607 gal ²⁾	125km	SCRAM at Units #1 & 3. Unit 2; under periodical inspection
Fukushima Daichi	Tohoku earthquake	March 11, 2011	9.0	550 gal ²⁾	180km	SCRAM at Units #1, 2, 3. Others: under periodical inspection
Onagawa	Miyagi-Oki earthquake	April 7, 2011	7.1	398 gal ¹⁾	78km	Under periodical inspection

1) Response spectra exceeded the design basis ground motion (DBGM, Ss or S_2) at some periods

2) Peak ground acceleration (PGA) and response spectra (at some periods) exceeded the DBGM (Ss or S_2)

 3) Design basis ground motions (DBGMs) before and after the March 11, 2011 Tohoku Earthquake (at 50 Hz): Site Onagawa Shika Kashiwazaki-Kariwa Fukushima-Daiichi Before 580 gal 600 gal 450 gal* 600 gal (*Before back check) After 1000 (on evaluation) 1000 (on evaluation) 1209-2300 (on eval.) 900 (for consideration) 14

4) SCRAM threshold ground acceleration at Kashiwazaki-Kariwa: horizontal =120-185 gal, vertical = 100 gal

Reassessment of Sendai Nuclear Power Plants (NPPs): an example



- Owned by Kyushu EPC
- 2 PWRs, 890,000kW each
- About 30 years operation
- Front onto East China Sea (not to plate boundary)

Time sequence of reassessment

Jul. 8, 2013

Back-fit safety assessment completed Jul. 16, 2013

Examination by NRA commissioners and secretariats started. >60 times open-to-public meetings ~700 times closed meetings Revision after public comments Sep. 10, 2014

Permission for basic design decided. Sep. 10, 2015 and Nov. 17, 2015

Commercial operation of Reactors #1 and #2 restarted, respectively. Both reactors are currently on operation.



Tsunami sources



Nuclear Regulation Authority

Nagasaki spur fault (length:86km, Mw7.6)

 Northern and central part of
 Ryukyu trench (length:approx.900km, Mw9.1)

NRA required to estimate the tsunami height caused by northern and central part of Ryukyu trench*

* Any tsunami caused by this wide area have never been recorded, but the possibility to break several segment simultaneously, as in case of the Great East Japan Earthquake, should be considered.

16

Tsunami protection





4.2m

3.5m

Design Basis Tsunami (DBT) of Sendai NPPs is calculated at the point 8 km offshore and 50 m water depth.

Input Tsunami Height is the maximum at the site waterfront.

Site Elevation is the ground height where reactors are placed.



3.3m

Mihama

Capable faults on site?

Geological Map of the Sendai Nuclear Power Plant site



Two reactors are built on the Cretaceous conglomerate bed. The longest and youngest faults (e.g. D-45 and D-48) are selected for detailed assessment.



81

Mineral veins cutting fault zones

D-45 fault zone is cut by a quartz vein including chlorite and illite (p.109)



D-48 fault zone is cut by calcite veins (p. 117)



原子力規制委員会 Nuclear Regulation Authority Mar. 19, 2014, Assessment Meeting #95 Doc. 2-1, Sendai NPPs (Kyushu EPC)

Age of hydrothermal veins: 3 Ma in the Sendai-Kushikino area

Doc. 2-1, Sendai NPPs (Kyushu EPC)

○敷地内の熱水変質活動の年代については、井澤(2004)*に基づき、3~4Maと判断している。



Nuclear Regulation Authority

On-site faults of Sendai NPPs formed before (or geologically at the same time with) the 3 Ma hydrothermal activity. Thus they are not capable faults.



Capable faults (near site <30km)



Nuclear Regulation Authority

Blue: Fault length assessed by Kyushu EPC

Red: Fault length assessed by the Headquarter for Earthquake Research Promotion (HERP)

NRA required to extend the length of faults to fit the length assessed by HERP

The nearest faults are used for calculation of Design Basis Ground Motion (DBGM)

(Mar. 12, 2014 Assessment Meeting #92. Copyright: Kyushu EPC)



Design Basis Ground Motion of Sendai NPPs



Capable faults (near site <100km)



Kyushu EPC's evaluation of Futagawa-Hinagu Fault is 93 km long and M8.1, assuming a full-length rupture. Equivalent epicenter distance from Sendai NPPs is 104 km.

The Futagawa-Hinagu Fault caused M7.3 Kumamoto earthquake on Apr. 16, 2016 and associated numerous disastrous earthquakes.

(Mar. 12, 2014 Assessment Meeting #92. Copyright: Kyushu EPC)



2016 Kumamoto Earthquake

Apr. 14, M6.5 and Apr. 16, M7.3; 50 deaths, >2,000 injuries and >180,000 evacuees.



Surface Fault Rupture:Futagawa:28 kmHinagu:6 km

Fault Length by Satellite-based Ground Movement: Futagawa E: 5 km Futagawa W: 20 km Hinagu: 10 km (Data from Japan Meteorological Agency)

Kyushu EPC's evaluation of the Futagawa-Hinagu Fault in the Sendai NPP Reassessment: 93 km, M8.1

Protection of NPPs from volcanic hazards

Utility companies should survey Quaternary volcanoes within 160 km from the NPP, and assess their eruption histories, geothermal activities, distribution of lavas, pyroclastic flows and ash, etc.

(Apr. 23, 2014 Assessment Meeting #107 Sendai NPPs, Kyushu EPC)

In case if a pyroclastic flow reached the NPP site in the geologic past, the company should conduct seismic and geodetic monitoring of the source caldera volcano. This is the case for Sendai NPPs.





Evaluation of volcanic ash to be deposited in the NPP site during its operation: NPP Ash Sendai 15 cm Ikata 15 cm Takahama 10 cm Mihama 10 cm

25

Conclusion (Action Principles of NRA)

- Protect human life & environment our goal
- Independent scientific & technical decisions
- Field-based, effective regulation
- Open & informed regulation processes
- Professional moral & ability by daily studies
- Immediate & organized action at crisis
- Enhance nuclear safety & security culture

Jhank you for your kind attention.

